#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MARIO MARTINEZ on behalf of themselves				
and all other persons similarly situated,	)			
known and unknown,	)			
Plaintiff, v.	) ) Case No. 08 C 896 )			
DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	) Judge Darrah			
and DEMETRIOS L. KOZONIS, individually	) saago zarran			
Defendants.	) ) )			
INDEX OF ATTACHMENTS				
Notice of Lawsuit and Waiver of Service of Summons I	Issued to DefendantsA			
Green Card indicating service of Certified mail to Defer	ndantsB			
Executed Summons and Affidavits for same for each De	efendantC			
Declaration of John E. Untereker	D			

# EXHIBIT A

1198	U.S. Postal Service TO CERTIFIED MAIL TO RECUPE (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Conference of the Co	Overage Provided)
LC)	OFFICIAL	USE
0710 0002 15E	Postage \$ . 7	Postmark Here
7007	Street, Apt. No.; 2824 W. Mins. City, State, 2194 City, State, 219	54 Y 7

1,20 H	U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAI (Domestic Mail Only; No I.	Lm REC		
凸	For delivery information visit	our website a	at www.usps.comg	
5	OFFIC	IAL	USE	
0710 0002 156!	Postage \$ Certified Fee	15	Postmark Here	
7	Sent To Demetries K	·0でつた,	DELK6	
7007	5 Street, Apt. No.; 4849 W. Milvalle 182			
٠	City, State, ZIP+4 Ch, Cucco	DC	60636	
	PS Form 3800. August 2006		See Reverse for Instructions	

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

## NOTICE OF LAWSUIT AND REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: (A) Demetrios L. Kozonis, 4849 N. Milwaukee Ave 102, Chicago, IL 60630

as (B) President

of (C) Delko Construction Company

A lawsuit has been commenced against you (or the entity on whose behalf you are addressed). A copy of the complaint is attached to this notice. It has been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois and has been assigned docket number (D) 08 C 896

This is not a formal summons or notification from the court, but rather my request that you sign and return the enclosed waiver of service in order to save the cost of serving you with a judicial summons and an additional copy of the complaint. The cost of service will be avoided if I receive a signed copy of the waiver within (E) 30 days after the date designated below as the date on which this Notice and Request is sent. I enclose a stamped and addressed envelope (or other means of cost-free return) for your use. An extra copy of the waiver is also attached for your records.

If you comply with this request and return the signed waiver, it will be filed with the court and no summons will be served on you. The action will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed, except that you will not be obligated to answer the complaint before 60 days from the date designated below as the date on which this notice is sent (or before 90 days from that date if your address is not in any judicial district of the United States).

If you do not return the signed waiver within the time indicated, I will take appropriate steps to effect formal service in a manner authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will then, to the extent authorized by those Rules, ask the court to require you (or the party on whose behalf you are addressed) to pay the full costs of such service. In that connection, please read the statement concerning the duty of parties to waive the service of the summons, which is set forth at the foot of the waiver form.

I affirm that this request is being sent to you on behalf of the plaintiff, this

13th

day of

.

February

2008

(Month)

(Year)

Signature of Plaintiff's Attorney or Unrepresented Plaintiff

A---Name of individual defendant (or name of officer or agent of corporate defendant)

B-Title, or other relationship of individual to corporate defendant

C-Name of corporate defendant, if any

D-Docket number of action.

E-Addressee must be given at least 30 days (60 days if located in foreign country) in which to return waiver

AO 399 (Rev. 05/00)

40

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

#### Waiver of Service of Summons

10:	John E. Untere	
(NA)	ME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR UN	REPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)
I, Demetrios L. Kozonis	FENDANT NAME)	, acknowledge receipt of your reques
(DE		
that I waive service of summons	in the action of et al	tinez v. Delko Construction Company,
		(CAPTION OF ACTION)
which is case number	08 C 896 (DOCKET NUMBER)	in the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Illino	ois.	
I have also received a copy by which I can return the signed		n, two copies of this instrument, and a means o me.
I agree to save the cost of so by not requiring that I (or the en manner provided by Rule 4.	ervice of a summons and an a ntity on whose behalf I am a	dditional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit cting) be served with judicial process in the
		defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the on a defect in the summons or in the service
I understand that a judgmen	t may be entered against me	(or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if
an answer or motion under Rule	12 is not served upon you wi	thin 60 days after 02/13/08 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)
or within 90 days after that date	if the request was sent outsid	
•	• .	
	•	
(DATE)		(SIGNATURE)
Printed/Typed Name	e:	
As	of	
(TITLE)	OI	(CORPORATE DEFENDANT)
• •		·,

#### **Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons**

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against that defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons had been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received.

### United States District Court

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

## NOTICE OF LAWSUIT AND REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: (A) Nicholas P. Black, 2824 West Diversey Ave, Chicago, IL 60647

as (B) Registered Agent

of (C) Delko Construction Company

A lawsuit has been commenced against you (or the entity on whose behalf you are addressed). A copy of the complaint is attached to this notice. It has been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois and has been assigned docket number (D) 08 C 896

This is not a formal summons or notification from the court, but rather my request that you sign and return the enclosed waiver of service in order to save the cost of serving you with a judicial summons and an additional copy of the complaint. The cost of service will be avoided if I receive a signed copy of the waiver within (E) 30 days after the date designated below as the date on which this Notice and Request is sent. I enclose a stamped and addressed envelope (or other means of cost-free return) for your use. An extra copy of the waiver is also attached for your records.

If you comply with this request and return the signed waiver, it will be filed with the court and no summons will be served on you. The action will then proceed as if you had been served on the date the waiver is filed, except that you will not be obligated to answer the complaint before 60 days from the date designated below as the date on which this notice is sent (or before 90 days from that date if your address is not in any judicial district of the United States).

If you do not return the signed waiver within the time indicated, I will take appropriate steps to effect formal service in a manner authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will then, to the extent authorized by those Rules, ask the court to require you (or the party on whose behalf you are addressed) to pay the full costs of such service. In that connection, please read the statement concerning the duty of parties to waive the service of the summons, which is set forth at the foot of the waiver form.

I affirm that this request is being sent to you on behalf of the plaintiff, this

2008

February (Month)

(Year)

Signature of Plaintiff's Attorney or Unrepresented Plaintiff

13th

day of

A-Name of individual defendant (or name of officer or agent of corporate defendant)

B-Title, or other relationship of individual to corporate defendant

C-Name of corporate defendant, if any

D-Docket number of action

E-Addressee must be given at least 30 days (60 days if located in foreign country) in which to return waiver

AO 399 (Rev. 05/00)

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

#### Waiver of Service of Summons

го:	John E. Unter		
(NA	ME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR U	REPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)	
I, Delko Construction Co	Ompany  EFENDANT NAME)	, acknowledge rec	eipt of your request
that I waive service of summon	Mario Ma	rtinez v. Delko Constru	ction Company,
which is case number	08 C 896 (DOCKET NUMBER)		tates District Court
for the Northern District of Illia	nois.		
I have also received a copy by which I can return the signe	y of the complaint in the actidusing the details and the details and the details are the contract of the complaint in the action of the contract of the contra	on, two copies of this instr to me.	ument, and a means
I agree to save the cost of by not requiring that I (or the emanner provided by Rule 4.	service of a summons and an entity on whose behalf I am	additional copy of the com acting) be served with jud	plaint in this lawsuit dicial process in the
I (or the entity on whose be jurisdiction or venue of the cou of the summons.	chalf I am acting) will retain a rt except for objections based	ll defenses or objections to I on a defect in the summo	the lawsuit or to the ons or in the service
I understand that a judgme	ent may be entered against m	e (or the party on whose b	ehalf I am acting) if
an answer or motion under Rul	e 12 is not served upon you		02/13/08 E REQUEST WAS SENT)
or within 90 days after that dat	e if the request was sent out	side the United States.	
			•
(DATE)		(SIGNATURE)	
Printed/Typed Na	me:		
As	of	(CORPORATE DEFENDA	NIT\
(TITLE)		(CORPORATE DEFENDA	171,

#### **Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons**

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against that defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons had been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received.

## **EXHIBIT B**

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.  ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.  ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits.  1. Article Addressed to:  Dematrics (Astruction Co.	B. Received by (Printed Name)  D. Is delivery address different from Item 17 19 Yes if YES, enter delivery address Deloving 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
4849 Ni Milnauloe Ave. 102 Chicago, IC 66630	3. Service Type  Lack Certified Mall
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7007 071	0 0002 1565 1204
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic F	Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

# EXHIBIT C

ClientCaseID:

Law Firm ID: WORKING



CaseReturnDate: 5/28/08

Affidavit of Special Process Server

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case Number 08C896

I, BARNETT S. KOLTON

FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH STATES THAT I AM OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE AND NOT A PARTY TO THIS SUIT AND IS A REGISTERED EMPLOYEE OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION PRIVATE DETECTIVE AGENCY #117-001292 STERN PROCESS & INVESTIGATION LLC 205 W. RANDOLPH ST. #1210 CHICAGO IL 60606

#### ABODE SERVICE

THAT I SERVED THE WITHIN SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT
ON THE WITHIN NAMED DEFENDANT DEMETRIOS L. KOZONIS

PERSON SERVED MARIA KOZONIS, DAUGHTER

I SERVED A MEMBER OF HOUSEHOLD 13 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER AT THE DEFENDANTS USUAL PLACE OF ABODE AND INFORMED THAT PERSON OF THE CONTENTS THEREOF AND FURTHER MAILED A COPY OF THE SUMMONS OR PROCESS IN A SEALED ENVELOPE WITH POSTAGE PREPAID TO THE DEFENDANT, AT HIS USUAL PLACE OF ABODE WITHIN TWO BUSINESS DAYS OF THE SERVICE.

That the sex, race and approximate age of the person whom I left the SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

are as follow:

Sex FEMALE Race WHITE

Ane 2

Height 5' 5"

Build THIN

Hair BROWN

LOCATION OF SERVICE

1715 SUNSET LANE BANNOCKBURN, IL, 60015

Date Of Service:

5/14/08

Time of Service:

9:50 PM

Date Of Mailing

5/15/2008

BARNETT S. KOLTON

5/16/2008

Special Process Server

P.E.R.C.#129-170762

Under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statement are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he/she verily believes same to be true.

Barnett of Kollon

ClientCaseID:

Law Firm ID:

WORKING



CaseReturnDate: 5/28/08

Affidavit of SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case Number 08C896

#### I, R. MARSHALL GRADY

FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH STATES THAT I AM OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE AND NOT A PARTY TO THIS SUIT AND IS A REGISTERED EMPLOYEE OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION PRIVATE DETECTIVE AGENCY #117-001292 STERN PROCESS & INVESTIGATION LLC 205 W. RANDOLPH ST. #1210 CHICAGO IL 60606

#### CORPORATE SERVICE

THAT I SERVED THE WITHIN SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT ON THE WITHIN NAMED DEFENDANT DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY PERSON SERVED LYNN ARNOLD, OFFICE MANAGER BY LEAVING A COPY OF EACH WITH THE SAID DEFENDANT ON 5/22/08

That the sex, race and approximate age of the person whom I left the SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

are as follow:

Sex FEMALE Race WHITE

Age

Height 5'6 Build HEAVY

**BROWN** Hair

LOCATION OF SERVICE

4849 N MILWAUKEE AVE 102 **CHICAGO, IL, 60630** 

Date Of Service

5/22/08

Time of Service 11:49 AM

5/23/2008

SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

PERC# 0129-275752

Under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statement are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he/she verily believes same to be true.

ClientCaseID: **JOHN** Law Firm ID: WORKERS



CaseReturnDate: 4/24/08

Affidavit of SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case Number 08C896

#### I. R. MARSHALL GRADY

FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH STATES THAT I AM OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE AND NOT A PARTY TO THIS SUIT AND IS A REGISTERED EMPLOYEE OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION PRIVATE DETECTIVE AGENCY #117-001292 STERN PROCESS & INVESTIGATION LLC 205 W. RANDOLPH ST. #1210 CHICAGO IL 60606

#### NON-SERVICE

DEFENDANT NAME DEMETRIOS L. KOZONIS. INDIVIDUALLY

I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO SERVE

**SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT** 

AT THE LOCATION 4849 N MILWAUKEE AVE 102

CHICAGO, IL, 60630

**BEING A** 

**OFFICE** 

ON THE DEFENDANT ON THIS CAUSE AND HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO SERVE BECAUSE:

ON 3/24/08 AT 7:22 PM THE OFFICE WAS CLOSED. ON 3/25/08 AT 3:48 PM I WAS INFORMED BY THE RECEPTIONIST (A 5'5" 55 YEAR OLD WHITE FEMALE WITH BROWN HAIR AND A HEAVY BUILD) THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT PRESENT. ON 3/26/08 AT 2:57 PM I WENT TO ROOM 102 AND LEFT A DETAILED MESSAGE WITH THE RECEPTIONIST. I ALSO WENT TO ROOM 302 AND LEFT A SECOND DETAILED MESSAGE, AS THE RECEPTIONIST STATED THAT THIS IS HIS PRIMARY OFFICE. ON 3/28 AT 9:32 AM, 4/1 AT 9:24 AM, 4/3 AT 11:54 AM, 4:10 AT 3:01 PM AND 4/11 AT 2:17 PM. ON EACH OCCASION THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT PRESENT AT EITHER OFFICE. BOTH OF THE RECEPTIONISTS TOOK DETAILED NOTES AND SAID THEY WOULD PASS ALONG MY MESSAGE TO THE DEFENDANT, BUT I DO NOT HEAR BACK FROM HIM. EACH TIME I TELL THEM THAT I WILL GO TO HIS HOME IF HE DOES NOT CALL ME. UNABLE TO SERVE.

**Date Of Last Attempt** 

4/11/08

Time

2:17 PM

4/23/2008

SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

PERC# 0129-275752

R. MARSHALL GRADY

Under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statement are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information/and belief and such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he/she verily believes same to be true.

ClientCaseID: JOHN
Law Firm ID: WORKERS



CaseReturnDate: 4/24/08

Affidavit of Special Process Server

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case Number 08C896

#### I, BARNETT S. KOLTON

FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH STATES THAT I AM OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE AND NOT A PARTY TO THIS SUIT AND IS A REGISTERED EMPLOYEE OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION PRIVATE DETECTIVE AGENCY #117-001292 STERN PROCESS & INVESTIGATION LLC 205 W. RANDOLPH ST. #1210 CHICAGO IL 60606

#### **NON-SERVICE**

DEFENDANT NAME DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO SERVE

**SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT** 

AT THE LOCATION 2755 CHARLIE CT

GLENVIEW, IL, 60026

BEING A

HOUSE

ON THE DEFENDANT ON THIS CAUSE AND HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO SERVE BECAUSE:

I ATTEMPTED SERVICE ON 4/6/08 AT 9:15 AM, 4/9/08 AT 7:30 PM, 4/11/08 AT 8:45 PM, 4/13/08 AT 10:40 AM, 4/15/08 AT 6:50 PM, AND 4/17/08 AT 8:25 PM. PEOPLE WERE HOME DURING MY ATTEMPTS, BUT THEY REFUSED TO COME TO THE DOOR. ON 4/17, THE OCCUPANTS TURNED OUT THE LIGHTS IN THE HOUSE DURING MY ATTEMPT. I OBSERVED TWO VEHICLES PARKED IN THE DRIVEWAY DURING MY ATTEMPTS (IL PLATE NUMBERS G726051 AND WRN344, BOTH OF WHICH ARE REGISTERED TO NICHOLAS P. BLACK AT THIS ADDRESS). NO CONTACT. UNABLE TO SERVE.

Date Of Last Attempt

4/17/08

Time

8:25 PM

BARNETT S. KOLTON

4/23/2008

Special Process Server P.E.R.C.#129-170762

Under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statement are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he/she verily believes same to be true.

ClientCaseID:

Law Firm ID: WORKING



CaseReturnDate: 5/28/08

Affidavit of Special Process Server

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case Number 08C896

#### I, BARNETT S. KOLTON

FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH STATES THAT! AM OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE AND NOT A PARTY TO THIS SUIT AND IS A REGISTERED EMPLOYEE OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION PRIVATE DETECTIVE AGENCY #117-001292 STERN PROCESS & INVESTIGATION LLC 205 W. RANDOLPH ST. #1210 CHICAGO IL 60606

#### **NON-SERVICE**

DEFENDANT NAME DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO SERVE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

AT THE LOCATION 1715 SUNSET LANE

BANNOCKBURN, IL, 60015

BEING A

HOUSE

ON THE DEFENDANT ON THIS CAUSE AND HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO SERVE BECAUSE:

MARIA KOZONIS, THE DAUGHTER OF DEMETRIOS KOZONIS ADVISED THAT DEMETRIOS IS OUT OF TOWN AND IT IS UNKNOWN WHEN HE WILL RETURN. PLEASE RE-DIRECT THIS OFFICE TO ATTEMPT TO SERVE DEMETRIOS KOZONIS AS AGENT FOR THE COMPANY IN 30 DAYS.

Date Of Last Attempt

Time

BARNETT S. KOLTON
Special Process Server

P.E.R.C.#129-170762

Under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statement are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he/she verily believes same to be true.

Barnett & Kolton

Tax ID 04-3801615

205 W. RANDOLPH ST 730

**CHICAGO, IL, 60606** 

Phone (312)-853-2150

Fax (312)-853-3119

Customer

WORKING HANDS LEGAL CLINIC 77 W WASHINGTON ST 1402

CHICAGO, IL, 60602

Phone: (312)-795-9115 Fax: (312)-419-1025

Invoice#

184160

Date Of Invoice: 5/16/2008

Plaintiff: MARIO M MARTINEZ

Court CaseID: 08C896

Firm#

Case Returned Date: 5/28/08

County:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Process Server: BARNETT S. KOLTON

ProcessType:

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Defendant:#1 DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Type Of Service: NON-SERVICE

Person Served:

**Date Of Service:** 

Time:

HOUSE

Sex

Age

Build

**Hair Color** 

Race

Defendant:#2 DEMETRIOS L. KOZONIS

Person Served: MARIA KOZONIS, DAUGHTER

Type Of Service: ABODE SERVICE Date Of Service: 5/14/2008

Time: 9:50 PM

Sex FEMALE Age 21

Height 5'5"

**Build THIN** 

Hair Color BROWN

Race WHITE

Location 1715 SUNSET LANE, BANNOCKBURN, IL, 60015

Height

Type Of Premise:

**Delivery Charge Bad Address** No Contact Database/Skip

\$0.00 \$0.00

\$55.00

Filing Investigation

Rush

\$0.00 \$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

Advanced fees

\$0.00 \$55.00

**Date Received** 

Check No

**Amount Received** 

\$0.00

**Balance Due** 

Total:

\$55.00

#### INFORMATION DEEMED RELIABLE BUT NOT GUARANTEED **PAYABLE UPON RECEIPT, 2% PER MONTH**

MARIA KOZONIS, THE DAUGHTER OF DEMETRIOS KOZONIS ADVISED THAT DEMETRIOS IS OUT OF TOWN AND IT IS UNKNOWN WHEN HE WILL RETURN. PLEASE RE-DIRECT THIS OFFICE TO ATTEMPT TO SERVE DEMETRIOS KOZONIS AS AGENT FOR THE COMPANY IN 30 DAYS.

Tax ID 04-3801615

205 W. RANDOLPH ST 730

CHICAGO, IL, 60606

Phone (312)-853-2150

Fax (312)-853-3119

Customer

WORKING HANDS LEGAL CLINIC 77 W WASHINGTON ST 1402

**CHICAGO, IL, 60602** 

Phone: (312)-795-9115 Fax: (312)-419-1025

Invoice#

184161

Date Of Invoice:

5/23/2008

Plaintiff: MARIO M MARTINEZ

Court CaseID: 08C896

Firm#

Case Returned Date: 5/28/08

County:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Process Server: R. MARSHALL GRADY

ProcessType:

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Defendant:#1 DEMETRIOS L KOZONIS

Type Of Service: Date Of Service:

NON-SERVICE

5/22/2008

5/22/2008

Time: 11:49 AM

Person Served:

Sex

Age

Height

Build

**Hair Color** 

Race

**OFFICE** 

Defendant:#2 DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Type Of Service: CORPORATE SERVICE

Person Served:

LYNN ARNOLD, OFFICE MANAGER

Height 5'6

**Date Of Service:** 

Time: 11:49 AM

Sex FEMALE Age 55

**Build HEAVY** 

Hair Color BROWN

Race WHITE

Location 4849 N MILWAUKEE AVE 102, CHICAGO, IL, 60630 Type Of Premise:

> \$55.00 **Delivery Charge** \$0.00 **Bad Address**

Rush **Filing** 

\$0.00 \$0.00

\$0.00

No Contact Database/Skip

\$0.00

\$0.00

Investigation Advanced fees

Total:

\$0.00 \$55.00

**Date Received** 

Check No

**Amount Received** 

\$0.00

**Balance Due** 

\$55.00

#### INFORMATION DEEMED RELIABLE BUT NOT GUARANTEED **PAYABLE UPON RECEIPT, 2% PER MONTH**

THE SUBJECT MAINTAINS OFFICES IN THIS BUILDING IN BOTH SUITES 102 AND 302. BOTH OFFICES HAVE A GLASS PARTITION BEHIND WHICH SITS A RECEPTIONIST. ON 5/9 @ 3:52PM: I CALL BOTH OFFICES #'S. HE IS GONE FOR THE DAY. ON 5/12 @ 9:13AM: HE'S NOT IN EITHER OFFICE. II LEAVE NOTES IN EACH OFFICE WITH STAFF MEMBERS WHO REMEMBER ME FROM WHEN THIS SUMMONS WAS FIRST ISSUED. I EXPLAIN WHO I AM AND THE NATURE OF THE DOCUMENTS AND REQUEST THEY HAVE HIM CALL ME. ON 5/13 @ 12:18PM THEY SAY HE'S NOT IN. I LEAVE A MSG., LATER, @ 4:41PM THEY SAY HE WAS IN AND HE RECEIVED THE MSG. TO CALL ME. ON 5/14 @ 3:47PM: I CALL BOTH OFFICES. HE'S NOT IN. I LEAVE 2

Tax ID 04-3801615

205 W. RANDOLPH ST 1210

**CHICAGO, IL, 60606** 

Phone (312)-853-2150

Fax (312)-853-3119

Customer

WORKERS' LAW OFFICE, P.C. 77 W WASHINGTON ST 1402

CHICAGO, IL, 60602

Phone: (312)-795-9115 Fax: (312)-419-1025

Invoice#

181620

Date Of Invoice: 4/23/2008

Plaintiff: MARIO M MARTINEZ

Court CaseID: 08C896

Firm# JOHN

Case Returned Date: 4/24/08

County: Process Server: BARNETT S. KOLTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ProcessType:

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Defendant:#1 DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Type Of Service: NON-SERVICE

Person Served:

Defendant:#2

Date Of Service: 4/17/2008

Type Of Service:

Date Of Service:

Time: 8:25 PM

Sex

Age

Height Bulld Hair Color

Race

Person Served: Bulld Sex Age Height

**Hair Color** 

Race

Time:

HOUSE

Location 2755 CHARLIE CT , GLENVIEW, IL, 60026

Type Of Premise:

\$0.00

\$0.00 Rush **Delivery Charge Bad Address** \$0.00 Filing **No Contact** \$55,00 Database/Skip \$0.00

Investigation Advanced fees \$0.00 \$0.00

\$0.00

**Date Received** 

Check No

**Amount Received** 

\$55.00 \$0.00

**Balance Due** 

Total:

\$55.00

INFORMATION DEEMED RELIABLE BUT NOT GUARANTEED **PAYABLE UPON RECEIPT, 2% PER MONTH** 

Tax ID 04-3801615

205 W. RANDOLPH ST 1210

CHICAGO, IL, 60606

Phone (312)-853-2150

Fax (312)-853-3119

Customer

WORKERS' LAW OFFICE, P.C. 77 W WASHINGTON ST 1402

CHICAGO, IL, 60602

Phone: (312)-795-9115 Fax: (312)-419-1025

Invoice# 180833

Date Of Invoice: 4/23/2008

Plaintiff: MARIO M MARTINEZ

Court CaseID: 08C896

Firm# JOHN

Case Returned Date: 4/24/08

County:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Process Server: R. MARSHALL GRADY

ProcessType: SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Defendant:#1 DEMETRIOS L. KOZONIS, INDIVIDUALLY Type Of Service: NON-SERVICE

Person Served:

Date Of Service: 4/11/2008

414.410000

Time: 2:17 PM

\$55.00

Sex Age Height Build Hair Color Race

Defendant:#2 Type Of Service:

Person Served: Date Of Service: Time:

Sex Age Height Build Hair Color Race

Location 4849 N MILWAUKEE AVE 102, CHICAGO, IL, 60630 Type Of Premise: OFFICE

 Delivery Charge
 \$0.00
 Rush
 \$0.00

 Bad Address
 \$0.00
 Filing
 \$0.00

 No Contact
 \$55.00
 Investigation
 \$0.00

 Database/Skip
 \$0.00
 Advanced fees
 \$0.00

Database/Skip \$0.00 Advanced fees

Total: \$55.00

Date Received Check No Amount Received \$0.00

Balance Due

### INFORMATION DEEMED RELIABLE BUT NOT GUARANTEED PAYABLE UPON RECEIPT, 2% PER MONTH

ON 3/24/08 AT 7:22 PM THE OFFICE WAS CLOSED. ON 3/25/08 AT 3:48 PM I WAS INFORMED BY THE RECEPTIONIST (A 5'5" 55 YEAR OLD WHITE FEMALE WITH BROWN HAIR AND A HEAVY BUILD) THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT PRESENT. ON 3/26/08 AT 2:57 PM I WENT TO ROOM 102 AND LEFT A DETAILED MESSAGE WITH THE RECEPTIONIST. I ALSO WENT TO ROOM 302 AND LEFT A SECOND DETAILED MESSAGE, AS THE RECEPTIONIST STATED THAT THIS IS HIS PRIMARY OFFICE. ON 3/28 AT 9:32 AM, 4/1 AT 9:24 AM, 4/3 AT 11:54 AM, 4:10 AT 3:01 PM AND 4/11 AT 2:17 PM. ON EACH OCCASION THE DEFENDANT WAS NOT PRESENT AT EITHER OFFICE. BOTH OF THE RECEPTIONISTS TOOK DETAILED NOTES AND SAID THEY

# EXHIBIT D

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MARIO M. MARTINEZ on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated, known and unknown,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Case No. 08 C 896
v.	)	
DELKO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	)	
and DEMETRIOS L. KOZONIS, individually	)	Judge Darrah
	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

#### **DECLARATION OF JOHN E. UNTEREKER**

John E. Untereker, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and states under penalty of perjury the following:

- 1. I was admitted to practice law in the State of Illinois on November 9, 2006. Since May 2007 to the present, I have been a staff attorney for the Working Hands Legal Clinic. Prior to that I was an associate with the Workers' Law Office, P.C. Between January 2005 and May 2005, and August 2005 and May 2006, I was an Ill. S. Ct. 711 licensed law clerk at the Law Office of the Cook County Public Defender. Between May 2005 and August 2006, I was summer intern with Cabrini Green Legal Aid Clinic.
- 2. I am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.
  - 3. I graduated from DePaul University College of Law in May 2006. While a law

student at DePaul University College of Law, I was a Sullivan Fellow for the International Human Rights Law Institute and was a Public Interest Law Association summer stipend recipient. I also received the highest grade and the CALI Award for Excellence in the following legal courses: Center for Justice in Capital Cases, Death Penalty Clinic in Fall, 2005 and Spring, 2006.

- 4. As a Staff Attorney with the Working Hands Legal Clinic, the majority of my legal work involves federal court litigation of FLSA cases in which employees seek to collect unpaid minimum and/or overtime wages. I have been co-counsel or lead counsel on 42 cases. See Exhibit A, as attached hereto.
- 5. The attorneys' fee time sought in this case is recorded and billed as follows: each day I keep a contemporaneous record of the time I work on various projects/files for various clients. This information is kept on a software program commonly known as "Timeslips 2005" that is loaded onto a Working Hands Legal Clinic computer. The Timeslips file created for the instant litigation is named "Martinez, Mario" Whenever I have performed work on behalf of Plaintiff in connection with his FLSA action against Defendants, I have made entries in the Timeslip program. These entries consist of a description of the work I performed on the date of the entry as well as the amount of time I spent during the day in performing the work described.
- 6. Based on the reasonable hourly rates of comparable attorneys and previous awards, I believe my reasonable hourly rate is now \$275.00 per hour.
- 7. On March 27, 2008, District Court Judge Williams J. Hibbler awarded me \$275.00 per hour in the case of *Alfredo Segura, et al. v. Selective Publishing Inc., et al.*, Case No. 07 C 3786. On January 23, 2008, District Court Judge Robert W. Gettleman awarded me the hourly rate of \$275.00 per hour in the case of *Alicio Diaz, et al. v. REIDesigne 'Landscape Contractors, Inc., et al.*,

Case No. 06 C 0720. On January 22, 2008, 2007, District Court Judge Williams J. Hibbler awarded me \$275.00 per hour in the case of *Alfredo Segura, et al. v. Selective Publishing Inc., et al.*, Case No. 07 C 3786. Prior to that, on November 29, 2007, District Court Judge Blanche M. Manning awarded me \$230.00 per hour in the ca-se of *Arturo Robles, et al. v. Boss Construction, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 07 C 3785. On November 27, 2007, District Court Judge Amy J. St. Eve awarded me the hourly rate of \$230.00 per hour in the case of *Francisco Alcantara v. Oscar Jurado d/b/a Jurado's Decorating,* Case No. 07 C 1865.

- 8. The Working Hands Legal Clinic is a 501(c)(3) non-profit legal clinic which represents low-wage and minimum wage workers in Northeastern Illinois. We advance the costs of the litigation for such clients and do not charge the client contingent fee. Instead, we either receive our fees through settlement or through a fee determined by the Court.
- 9. Since the inception of the case, my legal clinic has spent 4.34 hours obtaining service in this matter and in preparing the instant motion. See Exhibit B as attached hereto. Of that time, I spent 1 hour obtaining service on Defendants and preparing this motion. At an hourly rate of \$275.00, my fees for work performed during the above period amount to \$275.00.
- 10. Since March 21, 2008, other attorneys and law clerks have also spent time on this case. Please see the following amount of time and accompanying rate:
- a. Christopher J. Williams, Executive Director of the Working Hands Legal Clinic-0.17 hours at \$375.00 per hour. (On January 22, 2008, 2007, District Court Judge Williams J. Hibbler awarded him \$375.00 per hour in the case of *Alfredo Segura*, et al. v. Selective Publishing Inc., et al., Case No. 07 C 3786. On January 23, 2008, District Court Judge Robert W. Gettleman awarded him the hourly rate of \$375.00 per hour in the case of *Alicio Diaz*, et al. v. REIDesigne 'Landscape'

Contractors, Inc., et al., Case No. 06 C 0720. Prior to that, on November 29, 2007, District Court Judge Blanche M. Manning awarded him \$325.00 per hour in the case of Arturo Robles, et al. v. Boss Construction, Inc., et al., Case No. 07 C 3785. On November 27, 2007, District Court Judge St. Eve awarded him \$325.00 per hour in Francisco Alcantara v. Oscar Jurado d/b/a Jurado's Decorating, Case No. 07 C 1865. On March 7, 2007, District Court Judge St. Eve awarded him \$325.00 per hour in Roldan et al. v. Westlake Equity Partners et al., Case No. 06 C 4289. Prior to that, on September 26, 2006, Judge Kokoras awarded him \$300.00 per hour in Ramirez v. Nari Sushi, Inc. et al., Case No. 06 C 1651 and in Calderon v. Dollar Spot, Inc. et al., Case No. 06 C 2028. Prior to that, on August 3, 2006, Judge Gettleman of the Northern District of Illinois awarded the him \$300.00 per hour in Hernandez v. Estate Werks, LLC et al., Case No. 06 C 1650.

- b. Kathy Purnell- Law Clerk- 1.17 hours at \$175.00.
- c. Linda Imonode- Law Clerk- 2 hours at \$175.00.
- 11. In addition, my firm has also incurred \$220.00 in costs obtaining personal service on Defendants.
- 12. If the Court grants both the hours and the hourly rates requested, Plaintiffs will be entitled to an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$893.50 and costs in the amount of \$220.00.
- 13. I am not suffering any impediments and am competent to testify to all of the foregoing.
- 14. Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in this instrument are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and as to such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he verily believes the same to be true.

FURTHER DECLARANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

John E. Untereker

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: June 19, 2008

John E. Untereker

# EXHIBIT A

## JOHN EDWARD UNTEREKER, ESQ. Wage and Hour Cases — October 1, 2006 through June 6, 2008

- 1. Tapetillo, Camilo, et al. v. Urban Services of America, Inc., et al., 05/22/08, 08 CH 18782, Illinois Minimum Wage Law and the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, General Chancery, Cook County, IL- Chancery Division
- 2. Leon, Luis, et al. v. MS-Grand Niles, Inc., et al., 05/19/08, 08 C 2907, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 3. Marquez, Angelica, et al. v. Ethio Cafe, Inc., et al., 05/07/08, 08 C 2632, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 4. Arce, Guillermo v. Fire Clean Restoration, Inc., et al., 04/28/08, 08 M1 134050, Illinois Minimum Wage Law and the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, First District, Cook County, IL- Municipal Division
- 5. Munoz, Antonio, et al. v. G & J Investments, LTD., et al., 04/23/08, 08 C 2310, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 6. Martinez, Mario v. Delko Construction Company, et al., 02/12/08, 08 C 896, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 7. Flores, Rafael, et al. v. La Villa Restaurant, Inc., et al, 01/29/08, 08 C 620, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 8. Chavez, Alfonso et al. v. Stonetree Landscapes, Inc., 12/21/07, 07 C 7191, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 9. Luis Correa et al v. New Wincup Holdings, Inc., 9/6/07, 07 C 5010, 790 Other Labor Litigation, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 10. Martinez, Gloria v. The Herbal Garden, Inc., 7/27/07, 07 C 4238, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 11. Espinoza v. Mark's Creative Landscape, Inc. et al., 7/6/07, 07 C 3839, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 12. Segura et al. v. Selective Publishing, Inc. et al., 7/6/07, 07 C 3786, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 13. Robles et al. v. Boss Construction, Inc. et al., 7/6/07, 07 C 3785, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

- 14. Perez v. Lawn Man Service et al., 7/6/07, 07 C 3784, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 15. Hernandez et al. v. Real Taste Noodle MFG, Inc. et al., 7/6/07, 07 C 3783, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 16. Zarco v. Midwest Global Food Distributors, LLC et al., 7/6/07, 07 C 3781, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 17. Fermin Cisneros, et al v. Chain O Lakes Clearing Service Company d/b/a The Fix It Company 100% Woman Owned, et al., 6/7/07, 07 C 3226, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 18. Felix Maya v. Doering Landscape Company, et al., 05/08/07, 07 C 2593, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 19. Orozco et al. v. Public Auto, Inc. et al., 05/02/07, 07 C 2440, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 20. Cruz, Maria Elena, et al. vs. U.S. Services Systems, Inc., et al., 04/19/07, 07 CH 10841, Illinois Minimum Wage Law and the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, General Chancery, Cook County, IL- Chancery Division
- 21. Ayala, Salvador vs. What's Cooking Restaurant, Inc., et al., 04/12/07, 07 C 2032, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 22. Alcantara vs. Jurado Decorator et al., 04/04/07, 07 C 1865, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 23. Figueroa, Gilberto et al. vs. Personnel Staffing Group, LLC d/b/a Most Valuable Personnel et al., 04/02/07, 07 CH 9045, Illinois Minimum Wage Law, Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, Illinois Day and Temporary Labor Services Act, and Fair Labor Standards Act General Chancery, Cook County, Illinois Chancery Division
- 24. Ariza vs. Atlantic Mall Corporatoin et al., 03/23/07, 07 C 1652, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act. US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 25. Padilla vs. Minow et al., 03/23/07, 07 C 1651, 710 Fair Labor Standards Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 26. Martinez, Israel, et al. vs. PNJ, Inc., et al., 07 CH 07679, 03/19/07, Illinois Minimum Wage Law and the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, General Chancery, Cook County, IL-Chancery Division
- 27. Gomez et al. v. Superior Fullfillment & Staffing, Inc. et al., 03/13/07, 07 C 1428, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

- 28. Angelica Carbajal, et al. vs. BIT Wire Processing, Inc., et al., 06 C 7098, 12/22/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 29. Eduardo Huerta, et al. vs. Just Cheezie, Inc. d/b/a Culver's of Frankfort, et al., 06 C 7099, 12/22/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 30. Gloria Lopez, et al. vs. The Agency Inc. d/b/a The Agency Staffing, 06 CH K 1986, 12/21/06, Illinois Day and Temporary Labor Services Act—General Chancery, Kane County, Illinois—Chancery Division
- 31. Victoria Strom vs. Strom Closures, Inc., et al., 06 C 7051, 12/20/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 32. Margarita Navarette, et al. vs. Walrus Brands, LLC, et al., 06 C 6984, 12/18/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 33. Gabriel Chavez vs. Chicago Meat Processors, Inc., et al., 06 C 6983, 12/18/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 34. Maria Gomez vs. Superior Fullfillment & Staffing, Inc., et al. ., 06 CH 27575, 12/18/06, Illinois Minimum Wage Law, Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, Illinois Day and Temporary Labor Services Act, and Fair Labor Standards Act General Chancery, Cook County, Illinois Chancery Division
- 35. Maria Elena Merino, et al. vs. Nation Pizza Products, L.P., et al. ., 06 CH 27188, 12/13/06, Illinois Minimum Wage Law & Fair Labor Standards Act and Illinois Day and Temporary Labor Services Act General Chancery, Cook County, Illinois Chancery Division
- 36. Georgina Delgado vs. Bellas Lavanderia Inc., et la., 01:06cv6892, 12/13/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 37. Jose Pena, et al. vs. L & D Cleaning, Inc., et al., 01:06cv6804, 12/08/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 38. Margarita Covarrubias, et al. vs. Total Janitorial & Maintenance Services, Inc., et al., 06 CH 24092, 11/08/06 Illinois Minimum Wage Law & Fair Labor Standards Act General Chancery, Cook County, Illinois Chancery Division
- 39. Rogelio Alcalan, et al. vs. Landscape Concepts Management, Inc., et al., 06 CH 24091, 11/08/06 Illinois Minimum Wage Law & Fair Labor Standards Act General Chancery, Cook County, Illinois Chancery Division
- 40. Juan Alvarez vs. Jose L. Rodríguez d/b/a Rodríguez and Sons Landscaping, 01:06cv6089, 11/07/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

- 41. Mauro Yanez, et la. vs. James Michael, Inc., et al., 01:06cv5275, 09/28/06, 710 Fair Labor Standard Act, US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
- 42. Guadalupe Rodriguez, et al. vs. Metrostaff, Inc., et al., 06 CH 19683, 09/20/06, Illinois Minimum Wage Law, Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, and Illinois Day and Temporary Labor Services Act General Chancery, Cook County, Illinois Chancery Division

# EXHIBIT B

Werrman Law Office, P.C. 77 W. Washington, Suite 1402 Chicago, IL 60602 FEIN #20-3042887

Invoice submitted to: Mario Martinez

June 19, 2008

#### **Professional Services**

		Hrs/Rate	Tax# Amount
3/21/2008 - LS	Draft Pleadings - Summons; file summons; letter to process server	1.17 \$175.00/hr	\$204.75
4/18/2008 - JU	Telephone conference with Stern.	0.17 \$275.00/hr	\$46.75
6/11/2008 - LS	Drafting motion 4D, notice of motion, certificate of service.	1.50 \$175.00/hr	\$262.50
6/17/2008 - CW	Review 4(d) motion	0.17 \$375.00/hr	\$63.75
6/18/2008 - JU	Review and Revise 4(d) motion	0.33 \$275.00/hr	\$90.75
- JU	Draft Declaration for 4(d) motion	0.50 \$275.00/hr	\$137.50
6/19/2008 - LS	Prepare and file 4(d) motion	0.50 \$175.00/hr	\$87.50
For prof	essional services rendered	4.34	\$893.50